



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,949	03/24/2004	Tong Zhang	200300641-1	4075
22879	7590	02/19/2010	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V	
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3404 E. Harmony Road				2624
Mail Stop 35				
FORT COLLINS, CO 80528				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/19/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM

ipa.mail@hp.com

laura.m.clark@hp.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,949	ZHANG, TONG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR	2624	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Appeal Brief filed</u>	
(1) SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR.		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>J. Michael Johnson (Reg. No. 37,856).</u>		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>12 February 2010</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
N/A			
Claims discussed:			
23 and 36			
Prior art documents discussed:			
N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/Sath V Perungavoor/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that (1) claim 23 would be allowable if the limitations of claims 24, 32 and 33 were included and (2) claim 36 would be allowable if the limitations of claims 45, 46 and 47 were included. Applicant's representative did not agree. Hence, any statements/suggestions/comments made by the Examiner is no longer relevant/moot/withdrawn and the Examiner's Answer will override all statements/suggestions/comments made by the Examiner during the interviews. Applicant should proceed based on the Examiner's Answer ONLY.